

INDIANA STATE UNIVERSITY EVANSVILLE

8600 University Boulevard
EVANSVILLE, INDIANA 47712

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

TO: ALL FACULTY

DATE: 10/26/83

FROM: L. D. Goss

SUBJECT: Faculty Council Meeting

SESSION #5

The next regularly scheduled meeting of the faculty council will be at 3:00PM Friday, November 4, 1983, in the terrace room of the University Home.

AGENDA

1. Approval of minutes from Session #4.
2. Announcements.
3. Deadline for course petitions.
(Faculty council see memo from curricular committee.)
4. Reconsideration of petition for new course MNT 361.
(Faculty council see enclosure and memo from curricular committee.)
5. Review of Senate Resolution 8 -- Report regarding campus governance.
6. Old Business.
7. New Business.
8. Adjournment.

This meeting will be followed by a social hour and refreshments in the dining room of the University home. RSVP to extension 1816 by November 2, 1983.

SESSION #5
MINUTES OF THE 1983-84
FACULTY COUNCIL MEETINGS

Time: Friday, November 4, 1983; 3:00 p.m. at the
University Home

Members Present: Larry Goss, Chairman; Professors H. Dunn, D. Swope,
K. Kim, M. Willett, O. Ozete,
J. Davis, C. Petranek, W. Everett

Ex-officios present: President Rice, Dr. Settle for Vice President Reid

Others present: Professors J. Fredrich, D. Larsen, G. Eadie, R. Hahn,
K. Keck

1. Approval of minutes from Session #4

The minutes were approved as amended.

2. Announcements

Chairman Goss read a memo from Vice President Reid replying to the recommendations of the Ad Hoc Honors Curriculum Committee in which he supported the continuation of the committee but did not recommend implementation of an honors program at this time because of a lack of funds. Professor Petranek questioned the amount of financial burden which would be incurred from a modest program. Chairman Goss stated that he believed the members of the Faculty Council would be able to discuss the program with Vice President Reid at the next meeting.

Chairman Goss noted the university calendar on the last page of the latest issue of University Notes.

The next item Chairman Goss introduced was the agenda for the spring faculty meeting. There is a possibility of some participation in that meeting by the General Education Commission but more information about this will be available by the next meeting on November 18, 1983; the agenda will be decided at that time.

3. Deadline for course petitions

The Curricular Committee's memo recommending one date for final submissions for course decisions was discussed. Professor Settle explained that he was concerned about the difficulties involved with just one submission deadline date. Professor Bertram submitted an alternative proposal. Professor Davis moved that the Curricular Committee's proposal be returned with a copy of Professor Bertram's suggestion for further consideration. Professor Everett seconded the motion. The motion was passed unanimously.

4. Reconsideration of petition for new course MNT 361

Professor Goss introduced a discussion on MNT 361, Engineering Organization and Management. Professor Dunn had sent a memo to Vice President Reid and Dr. Ed Jones with copies to Dr. Ed Marting as Chairman of the Curricular Committee and to Chairman Goss noting his concern that MNT 361 already had been taught as an "X" course and could not be repeated with the same listing. The members of Faculty Council had considered and rejected the course on April 28, 1983 because of their concern over its place in the curriculum. Subsequently the course appeared on the Fall, 1983 course schedule as an "X" course and again on the Spring, 1984 course schedule without the "X" designation. Members of the Division of Engineering Technology re-submitted the course petition to the Curricular Committee in the Fall of 1983. The course description was changed to indicate it is a technical elective. Professor E. Marting, Chairman of the Curricular Committee sent a memo dated October 7, 1983 to Chairman Goss stating that the Curricular Committee members considered the changes to be minor and, therefore, believed that the Committee's approval given on March 28, 1983 was still effective and that the Committee was returning the petition to the Faculty Council for reconsideration. Professor Goss cautioned that the Council was considering a policy decision in this matter. When the Council rejects a course, does the action end further consideration of the course petition or not? If the course is re-submitted to the Curricular Committee, must it necessarily begin as a totally new course proposal or may it continue from whatever state as which it is re-submitted? Professor Fredrich questioned where in the Handbook it is stated that a course petition must be re-submitted at the beginning of the course proposal procedures after it has been rejected by the Council. Professor Dunn responded that neither did the Handbook state the opposite. After some additional discussion, Professor Dunn moved "that the revised MNT 361 proposal go back to the Curricular Committee and that the Curricular Committee should review it and vote on it." Professor Everett seconded the motion. After discussion on the time necessary to move the course petition through the appropriate channels, Professor Willett proposed an amendment to the motion requiring the Curricular Committee to vote on the course proposal and return the results of that vote to the Faculty Council by the Faculty Council's next meeting. Professor Everett seconded the amendment and the amendment passed unanimously. After additional discussion on timing and the appropriateness of the Curricular Committee's decision, Professor Everett called for the question. The vote was unanimous for closing debate. The motion passed, with seven in favor and one against.

5. Review of Senate Resolution 8 -- Report regarding campus governance

President Rice discussed the Senate report which he said is on reserve in the library. He gave a synopsis of the history of higher education in Indiana. He then explained that the issue of governance is still on the legislative agenda but no one is sure what its future will be. It may stay in this

session, but it may be delayed until the next session.

6. Old Business

There was no old business.

7. New Business

There was no new business.

8. Adjournment

Professor Dunn moved for adjournment at 4:26 p.m.

A wine and cheese party at the President's house followed the Council meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

Martha Willett

Martha Willett
Secretary