

Indiana State University Evansville

8600 University Boulevard
Evansville, Indiana 47712

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

TO: ALL FACULTY MEMBERS
FROM: John Gottcent *JAG*
Chairperson, Faculty Council
SUBJECT: Meeting

DATE: 12-8-82

SESSION #8

The next meeting of the Faculty Council will be held on Tuesday, December 14, from 3:00 to 4:30 p.m., in Room L-100. PLEASE NOTE THIS CHANGE FROM OUR USUAL MEETING TIME AND DATE.

AGENDA

1. Minutes from December 2 (Bigham)
2. Announcements
3. Promotions Committee Recommendations on Promotions Criteria
4. Professional Liability Insurance for Faculty (Jorgensen)
5. Curricular Committee Recommendations on Graduate Course Offerings
6. Proposal on Faculty Role in University Governance (Hopkins)
7. Package of Curricular Petitions Dated 12-3-82
8. Reports
9. New Business
10. Adjournment

SESSION #8
MINUTES OF THE 1982-83
FACULTY COUNCIL MEETINGS

Time: Tuesday, December 14, 1982; 3:00 p.m. in L-100

Members Present: John Gottcent, Chairman; Professors D. Bigham,
L. Goss, A. Jorgensen, K. Kim, C. Petranek,
R. Small, D. Swope, B. Thayer

Ex-Officio Present: Vice President Reid

Others Present: Professors Hopkins and Pohl

The meeting was called to order at 3:01 P.M.

1. The minutes of the December 2 (Session #7) were adopted as amended.

2. Announcements

- a. The general faculty meeting will be held January 5. Featured speaker will be Prof. George McCulley of Michigan Tech. Following his remarks, "Writing Across the Curriculum," faculty members will be divided into discussion groups organized via academic divisions.
- b. Prof. Palladino will replace Prof. Pitzer on the Honors Curriculum Committee during the latter's semester leave in the Spring.
- c. The next meeting of the Council will be January 7 from 9:00 to 10:30 a.m. in L-100. Commencing January 21, meetings will be held on alternate Fridays at 3:00.
- d. Prof. Goss noted the tax advantages of faculty purchase of home computers in 1982.
- e. Vice President Reid noted that the Commission on Higher Education had approved the Computer Informations Systems degree and was considering the Industrial Management graduate degree. Several members of the Council observed that the Council had not formally approved either program.

3. Motion to Adopt Promotions Committee Recommendations on Promotions Criteria

- a. Prof. Gottcent ruled that debate be limited to thirty minutes.
- b. Prof. Goss moved to amend the recommendations regarding levels of achievement (e.g., satisfactory and advanced) on pages 1 and 2--an action which would require that the wording in the present handbook (B.2a, 3a, and 4a) be changed to read "The applicant should meet the criteria for promotion to this rank as outlined in the expectations cited on page 3-15." Prof. Bigham seconded the motion. After brief discussion, the motion was passed.
- c. Prof. Goss offered a second amendment, seconded by Prof. Bigham, which would have altered the second sentence of the first paragraph in section D (University Service). The amendment failed to pass, but another amendment (Goss/Petranek)--striking the dependent clause from the second sentence--did pass.

- d. Prof. Goss offered a third amendment, seconded by Prof. Jorgensen, proposing implementation of the revised criteria in the first full academic year after approval by Faculty Council and the administration. The motion was passed.
- e. The Council then approved a motion introduced by Prof. Thayer and seconded by Prof. Bigham to extend debate five minutes.
- f. Prof. Bigham introduced an amendment, seconded by Prof. Thayer, to number the major areas of evaluation (I. Teaching; II. Scholarship and Professional Activity--with IIA being Scholarship and IIB being Professional Activity; and III. Service--with IIIA being University Service and IIIB being Community Service). The motion was passed.
- g. The Council then voted, after the question was called, to close debate. It subsequently adopted the motion to accept the recommendations of the 1981-82 University Promotions Committee, as amended, by a vote of six to two. A COPY IS ATTACHED TO THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING.

4. Professional Liability Insurance for Faculty Members

Upon the recommendation of Prof. Jorgensen, the Council agreed to request the Council Chair to request a written statement from Vice President Wright regarding the nature and amount of coverage currently provided the faculty.

5. Curricular Committee Recommendations on Graduate Course Offerings

Prof. Jorgensen moved to adopt the recommendations of November 15, 1982. Prof. Swope seconded the motion. Several faculty members expressed concern about the needless confusion about the respective roles of the Curricular Committee, the Academic Planning Council, and the Graduate Committee. Prof. Gottcent, echoing the remarks of Prof. Bigham, cited the ISUE and ISU Faculty Handbooks regarding the primary role of the faculty in curricular development. Prof. Petranek inquired about the apparent dichotomy in faculty-administrative efforts. Vice President Reid noted in response that the Graduate Committee was an ad hoc body, and that on the previous day that committee and the Curricular Committee had met with Dean Carroll of the ISU Graduate School to discuss the implementation of graduate programs and courses. He also stated that the need to place new graduate programs into the Commission for Higher Education's budget had prompted the administration's proceeding with the adoption of proposals during the summer and early fall. Prof. Bigham suggested that too often new plans were raised and implemented in the summer, and then presented to the faculty after the fact in the fall. More careful planning with the Curricular Committee in the spring semester would have avoided the current discussion.

Prof. Jorgensen then moved, and Prof. Petranek seconded the motion, that a recommendation on the establishment of a permanent Graduate Committee of the Faculty Council, including its composition and duties, should be submitted to the Faculty Council by the Curricular Committee, working with the present Graduate Committee. Until the establishment of such a permanent Graduate Committee, the Curricular Committee should act on all proposals for graduate designation, treating them as either new courses or programs, or modified courses or programs. The recommendation would become item seven on the Curricular Committee's recommendations. The motion passed unanimously. After further discussion, the amended list of recommendations (SEE ATTACHED) was also passed unanimously.

Items 6 and 7 were tabled until the next meeting. There were no reports (item 8).

9. New Business

- a. Prof. Gottcent reminded the Council of his intent to discuss the status of Education 198.
- b. Prof. Gottcent noted that several new or modified courses were not included in the package transmitted by Curricular Committee. Prof. Pohl indicated that he was correct. Divisions with proposed curricular changes would have representatives at the January 7 meeting.
- c. Vice President Reid was also asked to approach Mr. Schonberger about turning off the audio of the television monitors in various halls.

10. The meeting was adjourned at 4:38 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,



Darrel Bigham
Secretary, Faculty Council
1/7/83