

INDIANA STATE UNIVERSITY EVANSVILLE

8600 University Boulevard
EVANSVILLE, INDIANA 47712

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM

TO: ALL FACULTY MEMBERS

DATE: March 24, 1980

FROM: Richard R. Mussard, Chairman, Faculty Council

SUBJECT: Faculty Council Agenda for Friday, March 28, 1980 at 3:00 P.M. in L-100.

AGENDA #17

1. Approval of the minutes of February 29, March 1, and March 7, (if the unapproved versions are available in time).
2. Reports:
 - i. Faculty Council Chairman
 - ii. President Rice
 - iii. Vice President Reid
3. Announcements and Questions
4. Petitions from the Curricular Committee (if received in time)
5. Continued discussion of the Annual Reports of the Standing Committees received to date (especially the Economic Benefits Committee)
6. Discussion of the merits of calling for a review of the ISUE General Education Program. (Will probably be postponed indefinitely.)
7. Discussion of the item from Professor Rivers.
8. Discussion of item from the Merit Pay Sub-Committee.
9. Discussion of item from Social Science Personnel Committee addressed to the University Promotions Committee.
10. Old or New Business

RRM/bac

5/12/80

SESSION #17
MINUTES OF THE 1979-80
FACULTY COUNCIL MEETINGS

Time: March 28, 1980; 3:00 p.m.; L-100

Members Present: Richard R. Mussard, Chairman, Professors Bennett, Davis, Edwards, Gehring, Kinsey, Robinson, Shaw, Waitman

Ex-Officio Present: President Rice, Vice President Bennett, Vice President Reid

Others Present: Professor Goss, Professor Jorgensen, Dr. Settle

1. The minutes of the March 7, 1980, Faculty Council meeting were approved as amended.
2. Faculty Council Chairman's Report:

Professor Mussard made two announcements: (a) that he had received the Faculty and Academic Affairs Committee's Annual Report; and, (b) that he had received a memorandum from Professor Jorgensen, Chairman of the Economic Benefits Committee, responding to the Faculty Council's request for more information regarding the possible establishment of a Health Maintenance Organization.

President Rice's Report:

- (a) President Rice reported that the Dental Accreditation team was on campus, and that it had given a very positive appraisal of many aspects of the ISUE campus.
3. Professor Waitman informed the Council that the 4th Annual Mid-America Conference on Composition would be held at ISUE on Friday, April 11, 1980. He said that everyone is invited to attend this conference and that the registration fee is \$4.00.

Professor Edwards reminded the Council members that the Executive-in-Residence was on campus that day. He also noted that another Executive-in-Residence will visit in approximately six months.

4. Petitions from the Divisions of Business, Social Science, Humanities, and Science and Mathematics were considered.

Petitions from the Division of Social Science

Deleted Courses

SOC 443	Social Control	3 hours
SOC 442	Small Group Dynamics	3 hours
SOC 481	Social Change	3 hours
PSY 460	Clinical Methods	3 hours
PSY 439	Advanced Experimental Psychology	4 hours
PSY 331	Mental Retardation	3 hours
SOC 464	Industrial Sociology	3 hours

Professor Edwards moved to accept these petitions; Professor Robinson seconded; motion carried.

Modified Program

Divisional Core Curriculum

Professor Robinson moved to accept this petition; Professor Gehring seconded; motion carried.

Petitions from the Division of Business

Modified Course

ASBE 377 Advanced Computing Techniques in Business 3 hours

Professor Bennett moved to accept this petition; Professor Davis seconded; motion carried.

Petitions from the Division of Humanities

Modified Course

CLEP English Composition Test (90 minutes)

Professor Kinsey moved to accept this petition; Professor Robinson seconded; motion carried.

Modified Program

Changes in Course Numbering in ART

Professor Shaw moved to accept this petition; Professor Waitman seconded; motion carried.

Petitions from the Division of Science and Mathematics

Professor Mussard suggested that the petitions from the Science and Mathematics Division, consisting of several computer science courses proposed to carry general education credit in the supplementary category, be deferred until the whole issue of revising the general education curriculum could be confronted. Or, he said, perhaps these computer science courses could carry general education credit in the science and math category.

Professor Shaw responded by stating that using computer science courses to earn credit in the science and math category would counter the intent of exposing students to the mathematical and natural sciences.

Professor Edwards observed that computer science courses were modern, valuable studies, and that perhaps they should be cross-referenced in the Divisions of Technology, Business, and Science and Mathematics.

Dr. Reid pointed out that Dr. Denner saw as appropriate the listing of computer science courses in the supplemental general education category. Professor Mussard asserted that we need to decide upon a principle of inclusion or exclusion for courses to be considered for general education credit.

Professor Kinsey stated his judgment that the petition needed further study, and moved that it be tabled; Professor Waitman seconded; motion carried.

5. Discussion of the Economic Benefits Committee's Annual Report:

President Rice reported that President Landini believes that, based on an attorney's advice, some acts of Congress concerning retirement will require changes in university retirement policies as early as next year. Dr. Rice added that a draft detailing changes in the ISUE Handbook's statement on retirement will be presented to the Board of Trustees at its April, May, or June meeting.

Professor Mussard then broached three economic concerns that faculty members had asked him to bring to President Rice's attention: (1) tuition remission for children of faculty and staff; (2) athletic tickets; (3) faculty parking fees.

President Rice answered that the argument against tuition remission for children of faculty and staff is based on alleged discrimination against unmarried faculty members.

To this observation, Professor Jorgensen replied that in his judgment certain university practices already discriminate against some faculty on the basis of (1) longevity of university experience, and (2) marital status. He explained that ISUE does not pay toward the retirement fund of some faculty members employed at the inception of the ISUE campus. And he noted that ISUE pays more toward a family health insurance premium than it does toward a single person's premium. Professor Jorgensen added that the real question is whether some discrimination is acceptable for the benefit of the ISUE academic community as a whole. Here President Rice stated that he himself favored tuition remission for children of faculty and staff.

Regarding the athletic tickets issue, President Rice asserted that university policy has already been settled on this matter. That is because the athletic program is self-supportive, the faculty will be required to buy any athletic tickets that they wish to have.

Concerning the issues of designated faculty parking spaces and parking fees, President Rice said that designated parking has been a headache on other campuses, but that ISUE has already made provision for designated faculty parking, with an annual fee of \$85.00.

Professor Jorgensen noted that universities not located in a central city area usually do not levy a faculty parking fee. He added that the sense of the Economic Benefits Committee's report on this matter was to petition the removal of the \$5.00 aggravation parking fee.

President Rice responded that the \$5.00 fee is reasonable, and said that the fund it generates is used for repairing chuckholes in university roads. He said also that this fund supplied the \$80,000 needed to repave the boulevard after last winter.

It was implied that the Economic Benefits Committee's request for increased travel funds might bring a slightly higher travel allotment next year.

Professor Jorgensen then stated that in the future the Economic Benefits Committee needed the Faculty Council to list a specific set of priorities for the committee to follow.

Professor Shaw moved that the Council accept the Economic Benefits Committee's report, and commend the committee for its work; Professor Gehring seconded; motion carried.

A question arose concerning who should participate in the preparation of the budget, and at what stage.

President Rice said that the majority of the University budget is allocated to the divisional levels, and that is where effective faculty participation can and should take place. Dr. Rice then noted some possible economic options of the faculty, whether: (1) to have a phone in the office or not; (2) to have two persons in an office or not; (3) to have travel funds or not; (4) to have janitorial services or not.

Professor Davis noted that the faculty can attend any of the Board of Trustees open meetings on the budget to find out where the money goes. Professor Mussard replied, however, that by that time the budget has already been determined.

Professor Jorgensen pointed out that the question is not where the money goes, but whether the faculty is to be allowed a voice -- not a determining voice, but a participatory voice -- in deciding priorities on a university-wide basis. He mentioned, for examples, the decisions about: (1) the use of the Forum; (2) the possible expansion of the Library; and, (3) the use of the computer.

President Rice asserted that the Faculty can contribute its ideas and judgments through the Academic Planning Council. Professor Kinsey agreed, noting that he had that week attended the meeting of the Academic Planning Council's Sub-Committee on Space Allocation. He attested that it was a highly productive session, and that everyone there was given the opportunity to participate.

Dr. Settle said that the faculty is participating in university affairs all the time, though not everyone realizes it. And Professor Edwards stated that the budget process is an almost automatic procedure -- it can't be affected very much.

President Rice observed that the Economic Benefits Committee's report was well written, and commended the committee for its achievement.

6. Professor Robinson moved to adjourn; Professor Kinsey seconded; the meeting was adjourned at 4:50 p.m.
7. The Council will next meet on April 18, 1980. The Annual Spring University Meeting will be held on April 22, 1980.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael D. Waitman

Michael D. Waitman
Faculty Council Secretary
5/12/80